

Understanding Proficiency Testing Statistical Analysis and Evaluation

Presented by Craig Huff

Statistical Analysis and Evaluation of Population Data- Commonly Utilized Models

- NELAP (TNI)*
- Z- Scoring
- Pure Study/Population Approach

* Most recognized in US and focus of today's presentation

NELAP (TNI)

- Accepted by most states- wholly or in part
- Data evaluated using regression equations or fixed limits
- Information published in the TNI FoPT Tables
- NPW Acceptance Limits represent $\sim \pm 3$ standard deviations
- DW Acceptance Limits represent $\sim \pm 2$ standard deviations
- Utilizes robust mean & robust standard deviation

Mean and Standard Deviation- Robust vs Arithmetic Techniques

- Arithmetic = Simple “Average” and “Standard Deviation”
 - Used for sample sizes of 7 to 20 samples
 - Robust = Multi-iterative, bi-weighted¹ mean and standard deviation
 - What does “bi-weighted” really mean?
 - Begins with the median of the data population
 - Assigns a weighting factor to each data point with each iteration based on “distance” from the median
 - 15 iterations conducted
 - Utilized for sample sizes of 20 or more values
 - Why use Robust technique?
 - Minimizes the effect of data outliers on the mean and standard deviation
1. “A Biweight Approach to the One-Sample Problem”- Dr. Karen Kafadar

Outliers – Determination and Treatment

- **Grubb's Test** (Grubbs 1969 and Stefansky 1972) is used to detect a single outlier in a univariate data set that follows an approximately normal distribution.

Grubbs' test is defined for the hypothesis:

H₀: There are no outliers in the data set

H_a: There is exactly one outlier in the data set- (*multiple iterations may be conducted*)

Test Statistic: The Grubbs' test statistic is defined as:

$$G = \frac{\max |Y_i - \bar{Y}|}{s}$$

with \bar{Y} and s denoting the sample mean and standard deviation, respectively. The Grubbs' test statistic is the largest absolute deviation from the sample mean in units of the sample standard deviation.

[Http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/eda/section3/eda35h1.htm](http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/eda/section3/eda35h1.htm)

Note: Outlier testing is utilized only when Arithmetic techniques are used to determine population means and standard deviations.

Used for sample sizes of 7 to 20 samples- **No more than 20% of the values in a data set may be classified as outliers.**

PT Regression Equations vs. Fixed Limits: Where do they come from and how were they derived?

- Exist within the TNI FoPT Tables (excerpt from TNI NPW FoPT table below)

Matrix	EPA	NELAC	Analyte ^{1,2}	Conc Range	Acceptance Criteria ^{3,4,5,6}				NELAC PTRL ⁷
	Analyte Code	Analyte Code			a	b	c	d	
Nutrients									
NPW	0031	1515	Ammonia as N	1.0 to 20	0.9923	0.0567	0.0583	0.0914	0.60
NPW	0032	1810	Nitrate as N	2.0 to 25	0.9975	-0.0005	0.0506	0.0642	1.50
NPW		1820	Nitrate-nitrite as N	2.5 to 25	0.9957	-0.0010	0.0509	0.0400	1.99
NPW		1840	Nitrite as N	0.4 to 4.0	1.0017	-0.0030	0.0377	0.0250	0.28
NPW	0033	1870	Orthophosphate as P	0.5 to 5.5	±15% fixed acceptance limit				0.42
NPW	0034	1795	Total Kjeldahl-Nitrogen ^{10f}	3.0 to 35	0.9701	0.2283	0.0680	0.1906	1.95
NPW	0035	1910	Total Phosphorus	0.5 to 10	0.9932	0.0084	0.0506	0.0254	0.35

How are PT Acceptance Limits derived from TNI Regression Equations

- Are your results “Acceptable” or “Not Acceptable”
- For NPW... Acceptance Limits are set at ± 3 Std Dev as calculated from the “predicted mean”

Eg. Nitrite as N; $a = 1.0017$, $b = -0.0030$, $c = 0.0377$, $d = 0.0250$

Assume PT sample assigned value = 1.00 mg/L

Predicted Mean = $(1.00 \times 1.0017) + (-0.0300) = \mathbf{0.999 \text{ mg/L}}$

Predicted Std Dev = $(1.00 \times 0.0377) + 0.0250 = \mathbf{0.0627 \text{ mg/L}}$

Acceptance Limits = $0.999 \pm (3 \times 0.0627)$ or 0.811 – 1.19 mg/L

Note: Analytical method bias is accounted for where regression equations are prescribed.

Assigned Values—How are they determined?

- Actual “made-to” value as determined by weights/measurements (taking into account substrate purities.
- Measured means (established by the PT provider)
- PT Study mean (eg...where only “c” & “d” factors are supplied on the FoPT table)
- Must be compliant with Verification, Homogeneity and Sample Stability criteria (VHS)

Data Modality – What is it and how is handled?

- Multi-modal distributions can occur where two or more data distribution scenarios are exhibited within a data set.
- Methods for detecting and treating these situations must be approved by the PT provider's Proficiency Testing Provider Accreditor (PTPA)
- When detected, the PT provider must assess the cause and segregate the data and evaluate separately...or invalidate the analyte/sample in that PT study

Some Potential Causes of Multi-Modality:

- Prep/analytical method bias (i.e., two or more methods may not be equivalent)
- PT sample(s) inhomogeneity (within and/or between the samples)
- PT sample(s) may have exhibited instability during the course of the study

PT Sample Concentration and it's impact on Acceptance Limits

- Regression-based acceptance limits: Typically change as a percentage of the assigned value over the PT concentration range (generally widen as the concentration approaches the PTRL)
- Fixed acceptance limits...need I say more

Monitoring and Trending PT Performance-Tools You Can Utilize

- PT Performance and Exception Reports
- Custom Export Generator
 - Define and save the data you want...when you want it
- Z-Scores (a powerful trending tool)
 - Know when you have opportunity for improvement—before you experience a “not acceptable” evaluation

Other Sources of Valuable Information

- TNI Website (FoPT Tables, Laboratory Accreditation, PT Program Info.)
 - www.nelac-institute.org
- ISO 17025
- ISO Guide 34
- ISO Guide 43
- ERA
 - www.eraqc.com

Questions?