

Certified Reference Materials (CRMs) for the Analysis of Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) in Environmental Samples (An Analytical Chemist's Perspective)

What is a Persistent Organic Pollutant?

UNEP (United Nations Environmental Programme) definition of POP:

- Chemical Substances that persist in the Environment
- Bioaccumulate through the food web
- Pose a risk of causing adverse affects to human health
- Evidence of long range transport to regions where they have never been used



POPs in Environmental Samples: The Analytical Challenge

- Millions of organic chemicals
 - There are 45 million compounds listed in ChemSpider <u>WWW.Chemspider.com</u>
- Many congeners per analyte group [dioxins/furans: 210; PCBs: 209, Toxaphene: >600]
- Separate and accurately quantify all toxic congeners [dioxins/furans: 17, PCBs: 12, Toxaphene: 5]
- Toxicity can range up to 6 orders of magnitude [NOEL = 3g/kg to LD₅₀ = 1 ug/kg]
- Wide range of concentrations [fg/g (10⁻¹⁵g/g) to %]
- Range of sample types, complexities [biota, air, water, soil, haz. waste, other]



LD₅₀: Lethal dose (50% test population)

3

POPs in Environmental Samples: The Analytical Challenge

- Quantitatively extract analytes from matrix
- Extract may contain up to 1 gram of co-extractable organic material
 - polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), organochlorine pesticides (OCs), brominated flame retardants (BFRs), polychlorinated naphthalenes (PCNs), polychlorinated diphenyl ethers (PCDEs)
- Extract must be cleaned to remove interfering co-extractables
- Separate target analytes from non-target isomers or congeners
- Detect analytes at sub picogram level for dioxin every piece of labware should be prechecked to contain less than 0.5 pg
- Ensure instrument selectivity and sensitivity to meet data quality objectives (DQOs) – Limit of Detection, Limit of Quantification
- Ensure quantitative accuracy (CRMs, ILS, External Standards)



Are There Other Persistent Organic Pollutants? A Challenge for Environmental Chemists[†]

DEREK C. G. MUIR*, # AND PHILIP H. HOWARD\$ Water Science and Technology Directorate, Environment Canada, Burlington, Ontario, Canada, and Syracuse Research Corporation, Environmental Science Center, North Syracuse, New York

Environ. Sci. Technol. 2010, 44, 2277-2285

Identifying New Persistent and **Bioaccumulative Organics Among Chemicals in Commerce**

PHILIP H. HOWARD* + AND DEREK C. G. MUIR[‡]

SRC, Environmental Science Center, 6502 Round Pond Road, North Syracuse, New York, and Aquatic Ecosystem Protection Research Division, Environment Canada, 867 Lakeshore Road, Burlington, Ontario

Received November 6, 2009. Revised manuscript received January 19, 2010. Accepted January 22, 2010.

Contamination and Bioaccumu This database of chemicals in t mercial chemicals, pesticides, p tives, and chemicals detected matched these chemicals against ume (HPV) chemical lists to chemicals.

This ability to screen thousand possible because of the deveMed Vol ~10,000) Structure Property Relationships of large chemical structural data our 2006 article, there still is a review of the results from QSPR available QSPRs generally do not that rapidly degrade (e.g., peroxid groups). Also, chemicals that a intermediates need to be careful they will be released to the envir

consideration of chemical prop

B. "Industrial" Chemicals in commerce – US TSCA inventory

Polymers Polymers ~40,000) Low Vol ~28,000)

A. Breakdown of the Chemicals in commerce – USA

Low volume <4.5 t/yr or not produced</p>

Medium volume 4.5-454 t/yr

□"industrial", ~82,000

Food additives, ~8600

Pharmaceuticals, ~1800

Pesticides (actives), ~1000

Cosmetics ingredients, ~3400

HPV >454 t/yr (~2800 substances)

Atmospheric Pollution Research

www.atmospoires.com

"industrial"

"Beyond these well-known substances, we find 510 chemicals that exceed all four criteria and can be considered potential POPs : Ninety eight percent of these chemicals are halogenated"



How many persistent organic pollutants should we expect?

Martin Scheringer¹, Sebastian Strempel¹, Sirja Hukari¹, Carla A. Ng¹, Markus Blepp², Konrad Hungerbuhler¹

¹ Institute for Chemical and Bioengineering, ETH Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland

² Öko-Institut, Merzhauser Straße 173, 79100 Freiburg, Germany

Stockholm Agreement Compounds

Only a small number are monitored or regulated globally

Original 12	Additional compounds	Under Discussion
aldrin, chlordane,	Added 2009: chlordecone,	short chain chlorinated
dieldrin, DDT, endrin,	α-hexachlorocyclohexane,	paraffins (SCCPs),
heptachlor,	β-hexachlorocyclohexane,	
hexachlorobenzene	hexabromobiphenyl,	
(HCB), mirex,	tetra- to hepta-bromodiphenylether,	
toxaphene,	lindane (δ-hexachlorocyclohexane),	
polychlorinated	pentachlorobenzene,	
biphenyls (PCBs),	perfluoroctanesulfonic acid (PFOS), its	
polychlorinated	salts and perfluoroctanesulfonyl fluoride	
dibenzodioxins (PCDD)	Added 2011: endosulfan,	
and dibenzofurans	Added 2013: hexabromocyclododecane	
(PCDF)	(HBCD)	





Sediment - Target Compounds





Other Target Compounds







Challenges for Non-Targeted Analysis

- Is the extraction method quantitative?
- Are compounds lost in the sample preparation or concentration procedure?
- Is the instrument able to detect compounds without significant bias?
- Are standards available?



Identifying Unknown Compounds

- Compounds must be separated from bulk matrix and other interfering compounds or isomers – GC, GCxGC or LC is used to attempt to get a clean mass spectrum
- Use tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) or high resolution mass Spectrometry (HRMS) to confirm elemental composition, structure and functional groups
- Requires extensive investigative work background knowledge from patents, manufacturers, e.g. INCHEM (International Programme on Chemical Safety – <u>www.inchem.org</u>, ChemSpider, <u>www.chemspider.com</u>.
- If not available, must have some prior knowledge of the compounds structure or functional groups to interpret mass chromatograms and spectra
- Synthesize compound as authentic standard for confirmation



Identification of Unknowns

- Target Compound Analysis
 - Stockholm POPs, PCNs, PAH, PCPPs Established methods, optimized for target compounds – Very specific and can filter out any potential unknowns
- Suspect Targeted Identification
 - Compounds in Industrial Products, Patents Howard & Muir EST 2010 (>500 compounds)
 - Have some information to help find compounds
- Untargeted Unknowns
 - Other compounds and degradation products



Unknown ID Tool Kit

Use selective detection:

- Advanced chromatography GCxGC, Scripting
- Advanced Mass Spectrometry HRMS, MS/MS (parent, daughter, neutral loss scans), FTICRMS

No previous information

- identify by first principals
 - RDBE, Element Restriction Rule, Nitrogen Rule, LEWIS and SENIOR (valence) Rules, Isotope Patterns, H/C check, Hetroatom/Carbon (<1.3) and data bases (CAS, ChemSpider)

Kind et al, BMC Bioimformatics, (2007), <u>8</u>, 105 Godfrey et al, Anal Bioanal Chem, (2012) <u>404</u>, 1159



ISO/IEC 17025:2005

General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories

- A standard that specifies the general requirements for laboratory competence to carry out tests and/or calibrations, including sampling and testing and calibration performed using standard methods, non-standard methods, and laboratory-developed methods.
- Laboratories in most jurisdictions must be accredited to this standard.
- It is applicable to all organizations performing tests and/or calibrations regardless of the extent of the scope of testing and/or calibration activities



ISO/IEC 17025:2005

- Laboratories are accredited using a third party review process.
- Accreditation involves a thorough evaluation of the laboratory's quality management system on a regular basis to ensure continued technical competence and compliance with ISO/IEC 17025.
- Laboratory accreditation can only be granted by an accreditation body, e.g A2LA, CALA,



ISO/IEC 17025:2005

- The quality management system includes a series of documents: standard operation procedures, analytical methods, documentation for method and analyst training and performance, calibration and traceability.
- Certified standards and certified reference materials are tools for the determination and assessment of the level of quality of the results being produced by the laboratory.



Uses of CRMs ISO Guide 33

- Calibration of equipment or a measurement procedure
- Establishing metrological tractability
- Method validation
- Assigning values to other materials (eg. validating standards)
- Quality control of a measurement or measurement procedure
- Maintaining conventional scales (eg. pH, Octane number)



Challenges for POPs CRM production

- Candidate materials have few analytes or are contaminated by one group
- Very few materials have all analytes that are fit for purpose
- Candidate samples are often not homogeneous
- May require review of many samples to find a candidate RM
- Contaminated samples can contain interferences that result in bias



Production of CRMs for POPs

- Find potential RM candidates
 - Can be challenging for POPs
- Obtain material for processing
- Initial processing
 - Freeze drying, grinding, sifting, homogenization
- Assess initial levels of analytes
 - Targeted and non-targeted analytes
 - Assess potential interferences
- Determine initial homogeneity
 - Use organic carbon (soil sediment) or lipid (biota)
- Re-homoginize (if necessary)



Production of CRMs for POPs

- Package samples in appropriate containers
- Obtain analytical results for analytes
 - Use Interlab study and/or orthogonal methods e.g. GC-MS vs GCxGC-ECD
- Reassess homogeneity of RM
 - Assess within bottle vs between bottle homogeneity
- Determine stability of reference analytes
- Determine reference values and uncertainties
- Develop certificates



A sediment RM for POPs analysis WMS-01

- Bay of Quinte is a depositional area of the Trent river
- Replicate sample analysis showed excellent homogeneity of sediment with low dioxin levels.
- CRM contains 2,3,7,8-PCDD/Fs and Dioxin-like PCBs at levels lower than most CRMs
- The Canadian Centre for Mineral and Energy Technology (CANMET), certified to ISO 9002 performed the physical processing of the sediment.



A sediment RM for POPs analysis WMS-01

Processing stages included:

- a) air drying at room temperature;
- b) crushing to break the agglomerates;
- c) further air drying at room temperature;
- d) initial sieving through a 1.40 mm (14 mesh) screen;
- e) sieving the < 1.40 mm fraction through a 75 μm (200 mesh) screen;
- f) grinding of all < 1.40 mm and > 75 μ m fractions;
- g) resieving the ground material through the 75 μ m screen;



A sediment CRM for POPs analysis WMS-01

Processing stages included (cont'd):

- h) regrinding the > 75 μ m fraction;
- i) sieving the re-ground material through the 75 µm screen;
- j) blending the three fractions of $< 75 \mu m$ mesh material;
- k) bottling the material in 25 g aliquots; and
- I) labeling.

A total of 1200 \times 25 g bottles were produced.



A sediment RM for POPs analysis WMS-01



Total Organic Carbon

The results 15 metals and bromine, were used to determine homogenetity. Of the 44 results, only 6 differed by more than 5% from the mean, and all differed by less than 8% from the mean. Using ANOVA, the ratio of between-bottle to within-bottle mean squares (*F calculated*) was compared to the *F Statistic at the* 95% confidence level.

For all elements listed above, the *F* calculated was less than the *F* Table values, indicating there was no evidence of inhomogeneity.



A sediment RM for POPs analysis WMS-01



The K-S procedure (a modified form of the Youden procedure) was applied to each analyte to estimate the average within laboratory standard deviation. The results were plotted Replicate 1 vs Replicate 2. The graph is divided into four quadrants . The data points represent a pair of data from each laboratory. The majority of the results were either in the lower left quadrant or in the upper right quadrant, very close to the 45 degree line. This demonstrates that most laboratories were capable of precise, but not always accurate analysis. It also confirms the CRM was homogeneous.



WMS-01

	Assigned value and	ł	Coefficient of			
Parameter	uncertainty	Ν	Variation			
2,3,7,8-TCDD	17.7 ± 5.6	69	15.8%			
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	7.96 ± 2.8	67	17.7%			
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	8.66 ± 2.7	62	15.6%			
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	20.8 ± 4.8	62	11.8%			
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	17.3 ± 8.0	66	22.9%			
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	293 ± 63	66	10.7%			
1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-OCDD	1899 ± 456	69	12.0%			
2,3,7,8-TCDF	52.5 ± 16	31	15.0%			
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	12.6 ± 5	65	19.9%			
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	18.5 ± 6	61	16.6%			
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	67.3 ± 24	66	17.8%			
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	20.3 ± 8.7	64	22.0%			
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	$2.68a \pm 4.0$	44	74.0%			
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	16 ± 8	63	25.0%			
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	299 ± 73	68	12.2%			
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	15.1 ± 4.6	64	15.1%			
1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-OCDF	509 ± 157	65	15.4%			

Results in pg/g

WMS-01

Parameter	Assigned value and uncertainty	N	Coefficient of Variation
	-		
PCB-077 (3,3',4,4'-TCB)	1717 ± 520	26	15.0%
PCB-081 (3,4,4',5-TCB)	75 ± 79	22	53.0%
PCB-105 (2,3,3',4,4'-PeCB)	3998 ± 951	48	12.0%
PCB-114 (2,3,4,4',5-PeCB)	207 ± 128	46	31.0%
PCB-118 (2,3',4,4',5-PeCB)	8115 ± 1663	42	10.0%
PCB-123 (2',3,4,4',5-PeCB)	209 ± 191	41	48.0%
PCB-126 (3,3',4,4',5-PeCB)	84.9 ± 35	43	21.0%
PCB-156 (2,3,3',4,4',5-HxCB)	715 ± 248	51	17.0%
PCB-157 (2,3,3',4,4',5'-HxCB)	186 ± 81	49	22.0%
PCB-167 (2,3',4,4',5,5'-HxCB)	330 ± 85	36	13.0%
PCB-169 (3,3',4,4',5,5'-HxCB)	7.97 ± 5.3	42	33.0%
PCB-189 (2,3,3',4,4',5,5'-HpCB)	85.2 ± 17.8	36	10.0%



Results in pg/g

Sediment CRM comparison

Parameter	WMS-01	DX1 (EC2)	DX2 (EC3)	NIST 1944	BCR 530	BCR 529
2,3,7,8-TCDD	17.7	263	262	133		4500
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	7.96	22	28	19		440
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	8.66	23	25	26		1200
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	20.8	77	85	56	61	5400
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	17.3	53	58	53	22	3000
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	293	634	757	800		
1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-OCDD	1899	3932	4402	5800		
2 3 7 8-TCDF	52 5	89	13/	30	240	780
1 2 3 7 8-PeCDF	12.5	39	46	45	620	140
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	18	62	88	45	321	360
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	67.3	713	825	220	190	3400
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	20.3	116	153	90	126	1090
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	2.68	28	36	19		22
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	16	57	70	54		370
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	299	2397	3064	1000		
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	15.1	137	152	40		
1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-OCDF	509	7122	7830	1000		

Results in pg/g



A Fish RM for POPs analysis WMF-01

- Four year old Chinook salmon from the Credit river, a tributary of Lake Ontario were collected
- Over 250 kg of fish were collected, filleted and freeze dried to constant weight.
- The freeze dried material was sieved using a 2 mm mesh to remove large cartilaginous materials and blended in a V blender for 16 hours
- The bulk material was tested for lipid levels and 18 PCB congeners to determine homogeneity



A sediment RM for POPs analysis WMF-01





Fish CRM PCB/PBDE comparison

Congener (IUPAC No.)	WMF -01	NRC Carp-2	BCR 682	BCR 718	SRM 1945	SRM 1946	SRM 1947	SRM 1974b	SRM 2977
PCB 77	2.31					0.327			
PCB 81	0.24								
PCB 105	49.7	53.2		0.63	30.1	19.9		39.5	3.76
PCB 114	3.60								
PCB 118	132	148	2.6	1.78	74.6	52.1		102	10.5
PCB 123	6.90								
PCB 126	0.75					0.380			
PCB 156	14.8			0.19	10.3	9.52		7.09	0.960
PCB 157	3.55							0.236	
PCB 167	10.7								
PCB 169	0.079					0.106			
PCB 189	2.01								
BDE 28	3.36					0.742	2.26		
BDE 47	126					29.9	73.3		
BDE 99	41.3					18.5	19.2		
BDE 100	37.2					8.57	17.1		
BDE 153	17					2.81	3.83		
BDE 154	24					5.77	6.88		
BDE 183	0.56								

Results in ng/g



Northern Contaminants Program (NCP)

- The NCP is a Performance Evaluation (PE) program used to monitor the accuracy and precision of testing laboratories.
- MOECC has administered the program since 2003. Participation has grown from 19 labs in Phase 1 to 55 labs in Phase 10. Phase 10 samples currently in labs for analysis.
- Each Phase includes 1 inject ready analytical standard, 3 or 4 CRMs/SRMs and 1 or 2 uncertified reference materials
- Analytical tests include Dioxins, PCBs, PBDEs and HFR, PFAAs, OC Pesticides, PCNs, OPFRs, metals, organometalics



Phase 9 – Injection ready Standard Dioxins / DLPCBs





Fish CRM Dioxin comparison

	WMF-01				EDF-2526				NRC Carp-2			
Analyte	Design	Median	Robust Std. Dev.	N	Design	Median	Robust Std. Dev.	N	Design	Median	Robust Std. Dev.	N
2,3,7,8-T4CDD	13.1	13.0	1.97	11	19.7	20.9	3.68	12	7.40	7.18	1.65	11
1,2,3,7,8-P5CDD	2.72	2.55	0.275	12	39.9	41.2	11.6	12	5.30	4.55	0.548	12
1,2,3,4,7,8-H6CDD	0.220	0.156	0.101	4	54.9	52.3	10.6	12	1.60	1.63	0.496	12
1,2,3,6,7,8-H6CDD	0.880	0.857	0.116	9	51.1	50.0	12.1	12	5.80	5.31	1.10	12
1,2,3,7,8,9-H6CDD	0.270	0.235	0.288	6	52.9	54.0	13.4	12	0.780	0.718	0.155	11
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H7CDD	0.590	0.393	0.251	8	70.7	72.9	17.4	12	6.40	6.32	2.53	12
OCDD	3.91	1.28	0.630	8	181	179	37.3	12	9.40	7.93	1.75	11
2,3,7,8-T4CDF	13.1	12.4	1.52	12	18.7	19.9	4.80	12	18.2	17.1	4.95	12
1,2,3,7,8-P5CDF	1.53	1.17	0.245	12	39.0	41.4	7.35	12	5.60	6.34	1.71	12
2,3,4,7,8-P5CDF	7.15	6.27	1.13	12	37.8	38.0	7.58	12	-	14.4	2.70	12
1,2,3,4,7,8-H6CDF	0.860	0.757	0.449	8	83.3	82.7	12.5	12	-	4.05	0.980	12
1,2,3,6,7,8-H6CDF	0.510	0.576	0.326	7	62.8	62.0	14.9	12	-	2.62	0.670	12
1,2,3,7,8,9-H6CDF	0.250	0.376	0.327	4	57.3	58.4	12.5	12	-	0.113	0.101	4
2,3,4,6,7,8-H6CDF	0.680	0.531	0.218	8	58.6	59.8	8.60	12	-	1.49	0.233	12
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H7CDF	1.01	0.554	0.470	7	81.6	81.8	19.9	12	-	4.39	0.933	11
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H7CDF	0.300	0.268	0.302	5	76.7	78.6	19.6	12	-	0.268	0.140	4
OCDF	1.38	0.750	0.300	7	185	172	30.8	12	-	0.768	0.340	8





Fish CRM Dioxin comparison



WMF-01


Fish CRM Dioxin comparison





Phase 9 - Relative Standard Deviation - Dioxins / DLPCBs



DFDLP - 4 = IRS, S1 =NRC Carp, S2 = WMF-01, S3 = EDF-2525, S4 = NIST 2976, S5 = Lake Superior Lake Trout extract



Dioxin Analyical Uncertainty



Horowitz et al, JAOAC <u>63</u>, 1344 (1980)



Phase 9 – Injection ready Standard Congener PCBs









Phase 9 – Injection ready Standard Congener PCBs

Internal Standard Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry N = 3 to 20



Fish CRM comparison

NRC Carp - 2





Fish CRM comparison

NIST SRM 1947



Ontario

N=8

Phase 9 EDF – 2525 Congener PCBs





Phase 9 EDF – 2525 Congener PCBs





Phase 9 - Relative Standard Deviation PCBs





Phase 9 – Injection ready Standard PBDEs





Fish CRM PBDE comparison

Analyte	WMF-01 Phase 7				WMF-01 Phase 8				EDF 252 6 Phase 8			
	Design	Median	Robust SD	N	Design	Median	Robust SD	Ν	Design	Median	Robust SD	N
BDE-28	3.12	3.44	0.39	14	3.12	3.08	1.29	16	-	7.96	9.86	7
BDE-47	123	142	28.4	18	123	113	52.5	19	-	42.0	63.0	11
BDE-99	37.5	39.1	9.52	18	37.5	32.7	13.5	20	-	19.2	26.3	10
BDE-100	35.9	34.6	7.28	18	35.9	30.6	12.5	20	-	10.5	10.1	7
BDE-119	-	1.21	0.96	5	-	0.636	0.373	6	-			0
BDE-153	17.0	15.7	3.65	18	17.0	13.5	3.40	20	7.48	3.56	4.13	4
BDE-154	19.8	20.0	2.33	16	19.8	17.0	5.40	17	-	2.45	2.07	3
BB-153	-			2	-	3.10	0.450	3	-			0
BDE-183	0.532	0.391	0.071	12	0.532	0.394	0.125	16	-	-	-	2
BDE-209	n/a	0.100	0.035	4	-	0.227	0.167	8	-	2.59	3.13	3



WMF-01 Fish CRM PBDE comparison





Fish CRM comparison

NIST SRM 1947



N=8

tario

Phase 9 - Relative Standard Deviation PBDEs





Phase 9 Injection Ready Standards - PFAAs





Phase 9 - Relative Standard Deviation - PFAAs







Phase 9 – Injection ready Standard – Alternate Flame Retardants



Phase 9 - Relative Standard Deviation – Alternate Flame Retardants









Plastimet

- ✤ 400+ tonnes of polyvinylchloride stored on site burned.
- ✤ The fire burned for 3 days before it was finally extinguished.
- ✤ Nearby residents were very concerned about their health.
- ✤ Several firefighters developed serious health problems.
- Chrome plating on some fire engines came off in the months following the fire due to atmospheric HCI.



Investigation of a Lab for falsifying results

- People in surrounding the fire area were evacuated from their homes for 4 days
- Very high results for PCDD/Fs, PAH etc.
- Contracted additional samples out to a private laboratory because capacity of MOECC lab exceeded
- Contract lab reported results that were low or non-detect.
- Sent NIST 1944 to lab and indicated it was CRM NIST 1944. Reported results were within a few percent of reference values.



Investigation of a Lab for falsifying results

- Resent NIST1944 and WMS-01 as blind samples to contract lab
- Results were non-detect or biased very low.
- Sent spiked water samples for PCBs and OC pesticides.
- Results were very low or non-detect.
- Resulted in the issue of a search warrant.
- Many discrepancies were observed including evidence of falsified data.
- Owners were charged and arrested.





Two-Dimensional GC (GC×GC)

- Produces higher peak capacity (more chromatographic peaks per space). Increases peak capacity to 50 × 20 = 1000 compounds
- Eliminates the need for second column confirmation. Can do multiple analyte groups in same run and may eliminate need for extract fractionation
- Fast analysis requires fast detector e.g., time-of-flight mass spectrometer (TOFMS), ECD
- Provides structured chromatograms for excellent selectivity
- Provides much more information
- Results in increased sensitivity



Comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography





Second Dimension Modulation





PCB Standard by GC×GC-ECD Orthogonal Elution







SRM1944 Analysis – Within Run (n=10)





CNS312 – Sludge Reference Material





Short Chain Chlorinated Paraffins SCCPs



Ontario

Also identified as Polychlorinated Alkanes - PCAs

The Universal Mass Spectrometry System

Waters Xevo G2-XS Q-TOF



System Attributes

- Mass range m/z 20 4000 (Q-limited) Covers most environmental contaminants
- Maximum Acquisition rate 30 Hz
 Capable of LC, GC
 and GCxGC experiments
- Mass Resolution 25,000 35,000 FWHM Slightly better than an HRMS instrument tuned for dioxin analysis. Enables mass defect analysis.
- Mass Accuracy < 1mDa That's equivalent to ~2 electrons!
- Full Scan data acquisition –
 Can do Target and
 Non-targetanalysis !

Zoex ZX2 modulator



Atmospheric Pressure Gas Chromatography (APGC)



GC inlet and ionization

- Transfer line heated to 300 °C 360°C
- Corona pin initiates ionization.
- Ionization similar to processes observed for APCI in LCMS
- Positive ionization usually occurs by charge exchange with N₂^{•+}.
- H₂O and other gases can be introduced to modify the ionization process. (proton transfer e.g.)
- Negative ions may be generated by electron capture, but other mechanisms may also occur.

Dioxin Toxicity



There are 210 Dioxins and Furans. Congeners with chlorines in the 2,3,7, or 8 positions are bioaccumilative and toxic
Instrument sensitivity (APGC) - full scan vs MRM

Wellington Dioxin Sensitivity Mix



Organtini et al., Anal. Chem. (2015) 87 (15), 7902-7908

QuEChERS for Dioxin in Contaminated Sediments

QuEChERS (Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged and Safe)

- ACN extraction with mini silica / carbon cleanup
- Detection limits 3 x higher than classical method (EPA 1613)
- Uncertainty $\pm 35\%$ Extract and cleanup 30 samples in 1 day

NIST 1944 – Results compare within 7% HRMS (1613) vs QuEChERS – N=10



Richman et al, J Environ. Protection, 7, 453-466 (2016), Haimovici et al, Anal Bioanal Chem Accepted (2016).

Comparison of NIST1944



Certified TEQ: 243.0 pg QuEChERS-XEVO TEQ: 204.9 pg (15.7% Difference) QuEChERS-Autospec TEQ: 226.7 pg (6.7% Difference)

GC×GC can enhance sensitivity



APGC reduces interferences and GC×GC can resolve them





Goal : a routine, automated, non-targeted analysis



(2) Software deconvolution of both target and non-target compounds.



(1) Mass defect filtering reveals halogenated ions



(3) Interpretation of full-scan high resolution mass spectra leads to structure proposal :



Target and non-target analysis in a single injection



The TEQ is increased 10x when 2,3,7,8-TBDD/F are included!

Conclusions

- Certified reference materials are the ultimate tool for determining tractability and method performance and validation
- They provide the best results for data comparison and conflict resolution
- They often contain and can be used to identify new environmental contaminants
- More CRMs are required for POPs analytical methods
- There is a need to have more parameters identified and certified

Eric.Reiner@Ontario.ca



Co-Authors/Collaborators/Contributors





Thank you for your attention!



21st International Mass Spectrometry Conference. Toronto, Canada. August 20-26, 2016

toronto



- · 2016-01-01: Registration Opens
- 2016-01-01: Abstract Submissions Start
- · 2016-05-15: Early Registration End
- 2016-08-20: Short Courses Start
- 2016-08-21: Conference Starts

Sponsors



Session Themes Integrated Omics Physical and Biophysical MS Instrumentation/Novel Methods **Drug Discovery Environmental MS**

(Rosetta Mission)



www.IMSC2016.ca

Prof. Bernhard Küster Technische Universitaet Muenchen



Dr Derek Muir Environment Canada



Prof. Richard Zare Stanford University



Dr. Vicki Wysocki Ohio State University



Prof. Xinrong Zhang Tsinghua University



Prof. Herve Cottin Université Paris-Est Créteil



