# Sub-Femtogram Detection of Dioxins and Furans using Tandem Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer

Douglas Stevens Waters Corporation

## GC APCI Tandem Quadrupole System APGC Xevo TQ-XS



# **Source and Ion Chamber**



#### **How GC-APCI works**



## v2.0 GC-APCI Interface



## v2.0 GC-APCI Interface

Also changed the tee piece design



## **Ionization Mechanisms**



## v2.0 GC-APCI Interface Performance

With HTL at 380°C and GC carrier gas ramped up to 15mL/min



## v2.0 GC-APCI Interface Performance

#### Comparison of Deca-BDE (209)



## v2.0 GC-APCI Interface Performance

 Evaluated dioxins and furans (EPA1613 CS3 standard) with a 107 repeat acquisition (62 hour long) experiment



## **Performance Evaluation**

- Started evaluation using a single component 2,3,7,8 TCDD sample (Supelco) diluted to various concentrations
- Used to evaluate linearity of response and establish limit of detection and point of saturation
- 1.0µL injections on an 7890A GC, split/splitless injector operating in pulsed splitless mode
- GC column was an DB-5MS 30m x 0.25mm x 0.25µm
- Monitored the two primary isotope MRM transitions
  - 319.9 -> 256.9
  - 321.9 -> 258.9

## 2,3,7,8 TCDD – 100fg injection



# 2,3,7,8 TCDD – 10fg injection

```
100 -
    Ratio Error = 1.8\%
    Signal to Noise = 640:1
*-
                                                                                                 🗕 Time
                                      6.00
                                                   7.00
                                                          7.50
                                                                8.00
                                                                      8.50
                                                                             9.00
                                                                                   9.50
                                                                                         10.00
      3.50
             4.00
                   4.50
                          5.00
                                5.50
                                             6.50
```

# 2,3,7,8 TCDD



# **Limit of Detection**

- First method for evaluating LoD is by regression of the relationship between signal to noise and sample amount
- Define the LoD as a signal to noise ratio of 3:1
  - Peak to peak noise
  - Over 10 peak widths
  - As defined in European dioxin and furan legislation\*
- Using n between 3 and 12 for injection amounts of 250ag, 500ag, 1fg, 2.5fg, 5fg and 10fg

\*Commission Regulation (EU) 589/2014, laying down methods of sampling and analysis for the control of levels of dioxins, dioxin-like PCBs and non-dioxin-like PCBs in certain foodstuffs.

## **Limit of Detection**



#### LoD = 51 attograms

## 2,3,7,8 TCDD – 500ag Reproducibility

Injection number	Peak Area	Ion Ratio Error (%)	Signal to Noise
1	73	-6.2	13
2	85	-2.1	41
3	84	-3.2	56
4	82	-4.3	43
5	79	2.3	27
6	83	-0.7	43
7	74	2.9	27
8	81	-2.2	53
9	74	2.7	35
10	75	6.3	32
11	71	-7.2	44
12	82	-4.7	37
Mean	78.5		
%RSD	6.2		

## Linearity and dynamic range



linearity within  $\pm 8\%$  over the range of 100ag to 100pg

## **Isotope Ratio Accuracy**



100fg TCDD, 1030 injections over 21 days

## **Performance with calibration standard**



EPA1613 CS3 diluted 100:1 on 60m x 0.25mm x 0.25µm Zebron ZB-5MS

## 10fg 2,3,7,8 TCDD on 60m column



## QA/QC ash extract - TCDD



# **QA/QC** ash extract



# Comparison of HRMS (Sector) with APGC Xevo TQ-S

Atmospheric pressure chemical ionization tandem mass spectrometry

(APGC/MS/MS) an alternative to high resolution mass spectrometry

(HRGC/HRMS) for the determination of dioxins.

Bert van Bavel<sup>a</sup>, Dawei Geng<sup>a</sup>, Laura Cherta<sup>b</sup>, Jaime Nácher-Mestre<sup>b</sup>, Tania Portolés<sup>b</sup>, Manuela Ábalos<sup>a,c</sup>, Jordi Sauló<sup>c</sup>, Esteban Abad<sup>c</sup>, Jody Dunstan<sup>e</sup>, Rhys Jones<sup>e</sup>, Alexander Kotz<sup>d</sup>, Helmut Winterhalter<sup>d</sup>, Rainer Malisch<sup>d</sup>, Wim Traag<sup>f</sup>, Jessika Hagberg<sup>a</sup>, Ingrid Ericson Jogsten<sup>a</sup>, Joaquim Beltran<sup>b\*</sup>, Félix Hernández<sup>b</sup>.

<sup>a</sup> MTM Research Centre, School of Science and Technology, Örebro University, 701 82 Örebro, Sweden.

<sup>\*b</sup> Research Institute for Pesticides and Water (IUPA). Avda. Sos Baynat, s/n. University Jaume I, 12071 Castellón, Spain.

<sup>c</sup> Laboratory of Dioxins, Mass Spectrometry Laboratory, Environmental Chemistry Dept., IDÆA-CSIC, Jordi Girona 18, 08034 Barcelona, Spain.

<sup>d</sup> EU Reference Laboratory(EU-RL) for Dioxins and PCBs in Feed and Food, State Institute for Chemical and Veterinary Analysis of Food, Freiburg, Germany.

e Waters Corporation, Manchester, UK.

<sup>f</sup> RIKILT, Institute of Food Safety, PO Box 230, NL-6700 AE Wageningen, The Netherlands.









UNINY

ÖREBRI

#### **Soil Extracts**

9.2fg 2,3,7,8 TCDD, isotope error +3.5%



## **Pork Fat Extract**

HpCDF trace of pork fat extract



## Conclusions

- Xevo TQ-XS (tandem quadrupole) with APGC (GC-APCI) meets or exceeds performance requirements for analysis of dioxins in environmental matrices
- Single instrument can be used for high sensitivity GC and LC MS/MS for coverage of a broader range of environmental matrices and analytes – dioxins/BFRs to PFAS's/microcystins

## Acknowledgements



## Acknowledgements

- Waters UK
  - Gareth Rhys Jones
  - Dave Gordon
  - David Douce
- Conner Stultz, Frank Dorman, Pennsylvania State University, USA
- Emmanuelle Bichon, Laberca, France
- Jack Cochran, Restek, USA
- Keith Hall, Hall Analytical, UK

Thank you for your time

# Questions?

